UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,236	01/25/2005	Hisashi Miyamori	052033	1625	
	7590 06/25/200 I, HATTORI, DANIEL		EXAM	IINER	
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV		RASHID, DAVID		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/522,236	MIYAMORI, HISAS  Art Unit  2624  is Broadcast, Ping  /A.  if an agreement we tween the prior and and "score inform ponse."  eed would render ould render the classes.	ASHI			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID P. RASHID	2624				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>DAVID P. RASHID (examiner)</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>DENNIS HUBBS (Reg. No. 59,145)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>18 June 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Ball Tracking and Virtua	al Replays for Innovative Tenn	nis Broadcast, Pi	ngali et al.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the differences between the prior art of record and claim 1 of Applicant's invention - more specifically the use of the terms "comparison" and "score information item". Though no agreement was reached, Applicant's representative agreed to file a response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
_	/Vikkram Bali/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Examiner's signature, if requi					